# METAHEURISTIC BASED IMPLEMENTATION FOR SECURITY IN MOBILE AD HOC NETWORKS

Anjali Asija Ph.D. Scholar, Department of ECE M. M. University, Mullana, Haryana, India

Dr. H. P. Sinha Professor, Department of ECE M. M. University, Mullana, Haryana, India

Dr. S. N. Panda Professor & Director Chitkara University, Rajpura, Punjab, India

# ABSTRACT

A mobile adhoc system is traditionally utilized for on the interest system establishment paying little heed to the foundation. In this type of system, the hubs or cell phones associate and correspond with one another by remote. In such a way, the versatile hubs are allowed to move or migrate freely in any heading and can change the connections with different gadgets subjectively. However the fundamental issues or issues that are connected with versatile impromptu systems are Congestion Control, Security and Vulnerability. In this original copy, the metaheuristic based execution has been proposed and sent in the versatile impromptu systems. Utilizing this particular and specific procedure, the route and parcel transmission is proposed to be profoundly secured. In this composition, an astute instrument has been proposed to conquer the blockage issue emerges throughout parcel move in the system. The strategy gives the answer for the portable sensor hubs under different situation and the acquired outcomes were enhanced contrasting with the methods effectively proposed..

Keywords - Mobile Ad Hoc Networks, Ant Colony Optimization, Swarm Intelligence, Routing, Congestion Control

### INTRODUCTION

Swarm knowledge (SI) is the aggregate conduct of decentralized, self-sorted out frameworks, common or manufactured. The idea is utilized in chip away at manmade brainpower. The articulation was presented by Gerardo Beni and Jing Wang in 1989, in the setting of cell mechanical frameworks. SI frameworks are normally made up of a populace of basic operators connecting generally with each one in turn and with nature. The motivation regularly originates from nature, particularly organic frameworks. The operators take after exceptionally straightforward manages, and despite the fact that there is no incorporated control structure directing how distinctive executors ought to carry on. The meaning of swarm knowledge is still not exactly clear. On a basic level, it ought to be a multi-executor framework that has self-sorted out conduct that demonstrates to some savvy conduct. The requisition of swarm standards to robots is called swarm mechanical technology, while 'swarm insights' alludes to the more general set of calculations. 'Swarm forecast' has been utilized as a part of the connection of determining issues.

#### ANT COLONY OPTIMIZATION IN WIRELESS NETWORK

Remote Sensor Networks [2] comprising of hubs with constrained force are sent to accumulate convenient data from the field. In Wsns it is basic to gather the data in a vitality effective way. Burrowing little creature Colony Optimization, a swarm insights based streamlining method, is generally utilized as a part of system directing. A novel directing methodology utilizing an Ant Colony Optimization [1] calculation is proposed for Wireless Sensor Networks comprising of stable hubs. Illustrative illustrations, point by point depictions and near execution test outcomes of the proposed methodology are incorporated.

Sensor hubs can sense nature's turf adjacent, perform straightforward processings and convey in a little locale. Despite the fact that their abilities are constrained, consolidating these little sensors in vast numbers gives another mechanical stage, called Wireless Sensor Networks (Wsns). Wsns give solid operations in different requisition regions including ecological following, wellbeing observing, vehicle following framework, military observation and seismic tremor perception. In spite of the fact that Wsns are utilized within numerous requisitions, they have a few confinements including constrained vitality [3] supply and restricted calculation and correspondence capacities. These confinements ought to be recognized when outlining conventions for Wsns. In view of these contemplations particular to Wsns, numerous directing plans utilizing end-to-end gadgets and Wsns are unseemly for Wsns.

In sensor systems, minimization of vitality utilization is viewed as a significant execution basis to give greatest system lifetime. At the point when acknowledging vitality protection [5], directing conventions ought to likewise be intended to accomplish shortcoming tolerance in interchanges. What's more, since channel data transmission is constrained, conventions ought to have ability of performing nearby coordinated effort to diminish transfer speed necessities.

### IMPLEMENTATION OF ANT COLONY OPTIMIZATION ALGORITHM

In a ground dwelling insect province, the ants sorted their sustenances and hatchlings in reliable piles. There are a few steps accommodating taking care of the advancement issues in WSN.

The stage is a two dimensional grid

The portable sensor hubs are sprinkled on the cross section

The counterfeit ants are produced in such a route, to the point that naturally jump from one hub to the an alternate hub

Every single hub has capability to change the color of the portable sensor hub as per the point of view principles.

This point of view guideline requires just neighborhood values as the data.

The versatile sensor hubs are shaded, re-colored or made dismal by a component which take nearby information as a data. The choking on shading the hub relies on upon sort of hub and its bunch proficience.

The probability P(d) of discoloring a mobile sensor node A, is denoted as follows

$$P(d) = (Cd/(Cd + fa(x)))^2$$

 $x_{=$ number of exclusive neighbour

 $Cd_{=constant}$  $fa(x)_{=local density function related to node A}$ 

The **probability** P(r) of re coloring a mobile sensor node A, increases with number of similar colored nodes in the neighbourhood.

 $P(r) = (fa(x)/(Cr + fa(x)))_2$ 

*Cr*<sub>=constant</sub>

fa(x)=local density function related to node A

The probability of untouched nodes P(U)

P(U) = 1 - (P(d) + P(r))

It is used to estimate the traffic overhead of the mobile sensor node A.

#### Benefits

- This algorithm helps in the reduction of IP header size
- It reduces the transmission power to reach the cluster heads in WSN.
- Nodes registered with more than one group acts as a bridge for providing many paths with fault tolerance capacity.

#### EXISTING SYSTEM AND ALGORITHMIC APPROACH

In this existing framework, they are principally focused on exchanging bundles between the source and end and additionally they are concentrated when the source and end are under development, these days the cell phones assumes significant part in system topology.

Multicasting was unmistakably demonstrated in the existing framework by exchanging parcels from one source to numerous ends of the line on a solitary time.

Step 1 : Source hub which tries to send parcel to the end of the line first begins multicasting course ask for to the close-by hubs (adjoining hubs) or objective hub, in the event that it is closer to source.

Step 2 : The contiguous hubs assistant exchange course ask for parcels to its close-by hubs then to the objective hub.

Step 3 : Destination hub of one source hub goes about as the contiguous hub to an alternate source hub.

Step 4 : If the terminus hub gets the course ask for, then it sends acknowledgement of course ask for to the source hub in comparative manner of the course ask.

Step 5 : Then the source hub begins sending bundles to objective hub in either of the course found.



# ARCHITECTURE DIAGRAM EXISTING SYSTEM

# Figure 1 : Existing System

#### **PROPOSED SYSTEM**

The proposed system is developed to detract assorted problems, during the multicast packet transfer to mobile nodes.

The proposed algorithm provide the optimized path to various locations using the ant algorithm, with the help of path packets transferred to the various destinations.

**Step 1 :** The source node transmit the route request to the node, the node multi casts the route request to the nearby nodes.

**Step 2 :** The node very near to the adjacent node is receiver node, then it send route reply to the source node in same fashion of the route request.

**Step 3 :** If node near to adjacent node not the receiver node, it will pass the route request to that node, till the request reach destination node.

**Step 4**: Once the destination node has been identified then the packets are transferred in same path until it is in range.

Step 5 : If the destination node is not in range, then the source node will repeat the process of route discovery.

### TRANSFER FUNCTION

In each node the routing is made with the help of the transfer function, the transfer function uses the adjacent table and organic table to find the next bound route.

$$\rho_{d,n} = (C_{d,n} * (1 - L_n))^F / \sum_{i \in N} (C_{d,i} * (1 - L_i))^F$$

 $\rho_{d,n} =$  Probability of adjacent node

 $C_{d,n} =$ Organic value of the adjacent node to get to the destination node

F =Smoothing factor its default value is 2

The adjacent node with highest probability is selected as the next hop route provider for transferring the packets.

# **OPTIMIZED SLAVE ANT ROUTE OPTIMIZATION**

In this routing algorithm, the actions taken by nodes whenever it receives the packets.

### **ROUTE DISCOVERY MECHANISM**

The route discovery mechanism begins with broadcast of the route request packets (RREQ) as discussed earlier, the node which is one step nearer to the destination node replies with route reply (RREP) for the requested node.

After receiving the route request the node n performs the following actions

**Step 1 :** After receiving the route request from the sender node to the destination, update the adjacent table (At) for the RREQ of the previous node.

$$n \leftarrow At[RREQ \rightarrow prev \ bound] \rightarrow backlog status = RREQ \rightarrow prev backlog$$

**Step 2 :** Renew the organic table C for the RREQ with the following equation

$$C[sreq][prevbound] = \left(\frac{1}{RREQ \rightarrow hopcount} + \frac{RREQ \rightarrow hopcount}{RREQ \rightarrow backlogsum}\right) * C$$

*backlogsum* = Percentage of backlog filled during the journey of RREQ

Step 3 : If the organic table C has the path for the route request for destination, then produce the report with organic value by setting

hopcount = 0

> backlogstatus = current nodes backlog status  $RREP \rightarrow C = C[d][n]$  $RREP \rightarrow backlogsum = n \rightarrow backlog length$

If it fails to satisfy the condition then forward the RREQ by updating the backlogsum

 $RREQ \rightarrow hopcount + +$  $RREP \rightarrow backlogsum += n \rightarrow backlog length$  $RREP \rightarrow prevbacklog = n \rightarrow backlog length$ 

The RREQ and RREP packets carry the information about number of bound and the quantity of the load on the adjacent node providing route towards the destination. This mechanism helps the source node in selecting the node with lesser number of intermediate nodes with minimum load to create the path.

# MANAGING ROUTES

At whatever point the bundles accepted by the end hub then the course for the source hub has been overhauled by increasing the consistent k, the default esteem for k=1, the steady augmenting aides in boosting the lifetime of the way.

In the event that assume the existing hub holding information bundle is end hub for the parcel, it devours that bundle or else it advances that parcel until it achieves the goal hub. In the event that more control bundles are utilized within the course upkeep, which gives better execution to parcel exchange.

The natural table sections are lessened after certain time of time, this is because of the course disintegration, and the positive and negative input component are emulated for the natural augmentation and decrement. This component helps in selecting the best course which is animated by disposing of the sub ideal course.

Step 1 : After getting the course ask for from the sender hub to the terminus, upgrade the nearby table (At) for the RREQ of the past hub.

$$n \leftarrow At[RREQ \rightarrow prev \ bound] \rightarrow backlog status = RREQ \rightarrow \ prev backlog$$

**Step 2 :** Renew the organic table C for the RREQ with the following equation

$$C[sreq][prevbound] = \left(\frac{1}{RREQ \rightarrow hopcount} + \frac{RREQ \rightarrow hopcount}{RREQ \rightarrow backlogsum}\right) * C$$

*backlogsum* = Percentage of backlog filled during the journey of RREQ

**Step 3 :** If the current node itself the destination of the RREP then discards the message and start the data transmission or else renew the hop count and backlog sum of the RREP.

 $RREP \rightarrow hopcount + +$  $RREP \rightarrow backlogsum += n \rightarrow backlog length$  $RREP \rightarrow prevbacklog = n \rightarrow backlog length$ 

By receiving the data packets, the node n performs the function like updating organic table with constant k is given below

$$C_{data} \rightarrow prevhop, data \rightarrow SreqID += k$$

# MANAGING ROUTE FAILURE

When a node gets involved in path that moves out of coverage area, then other node immediately replace the damaged node to provide optimized path, is possible as many paths are already created in the organic table to manage the failure.





**Figure 2 : Initial routing process** 

# NEW ROUTE FOR PACKET TRANSFER





Figure 3 : Route failure managing technique

### **ARCHITECTURE PROPOSED SYSTEM**



**Figure 4 : Proposed system** 

# PSEUDOCODE FOR PROPOSED ALGORITHM 1

### BEGIN

Initialize nodes

Initialize source and destination nodes

FOR i = 0 to  $n_{\rm DO}$ 

 $N_i \leftarrow Nodes$  in the network

- $N_{S} \leftarrow \text{Source node}$
- $N_D \leftarrow \text{Destination node}$
- $A_t \leftarrow Adjacent table$

 $C \leftarrow \text{Organic table}$ WHILE ( $N_i$  receives RREQ) DO

$$\label{eq:revbacklog} \begin{split} n \leftarrow At[\textit{RREQ} \rightarrow \textit{prev bound}] \rightarrow \textit{backlogstatus} = \textit{RREQ} \rightarrow \textit{prevbacklog} \end{split}$$

$$C[sreq][prevbound] = \left(\frac{1}{_{RREQ \rightarrow hopcount}} + \frac{_{RREQ \rightarrow hopcount}}{_{RREQ \rightarrow backlogsum}}\right) * C$$

IF ( $^{C}$  has the route for destination) THEN

hopcount = 0

backlogstatus = current nodes backlog status

 $RREP \rightarrow C = C[d][n]$ 

 $RREP \rightarrow backlogsum = n \rightarrow backlog length$ 

ELSE

$$RREQ \rightarrow hopcount + +$$
  
 $RREP \rightarrow backlogsum += n \rightarrow backlog length$   
 $RREP \rightarrow prevbacklog = n \rightarrow backlog length$ 

END IF

END FOR

END

## PSEUDOCODE FOR PROPOSED ALGORITHM 2

BEGIN

Initialize nodes Initialize source and destination nodes

FOR i = 0 to  $n_{\rm DO}$ 

 $N_i \leftarrow$  Nodes in the network

 $N_{S} \leftarrow$  Source node

 $N_D \leftarrow$  Destination node

 $A_t \leftarrow Adjacent table$ 

 $C \leftarrow \text{Organic table}$ 

WHILE ( $N_i$  receives RREQ) DO

 $n \leftarrow At[RREQ \rightarrow prev \ bound] \rightarrow backlog status = RREQ \rightarrow prev backlog$ 

 $C[sreq][prevbound] = \left(\frac{1}{RREQ \rightarrow hopcount} + \frac{RREQ \rightarrow hopcount}{RREQ \rightarrow backlogsum}\right) * C$ 

 $_{\rm IF}$  (next hop  $N_i$  is the destnation node  $N_D$ ) THEN

Discard RREP message

ELSE

 $RREP \rightarrow \Box opcount + +$ 

 $RREP \rightarrow backlogsum += n \rightarrow backlog length$ 

RREP 
$$\rightarrow$$
 prevbacklog = n  $\rightarrow$  backlog length

END IF

END FOR

END

# PACKET LOSS COMPARISON

Scenarios	Time (in seconds)	Packet drop (in count)
Existing system 1	9.2	29

# International Journal of Computing and Corporate Research

# ISSN (Online) : 2249-054X

# Volume 4 Issue 3 May 2014

# International Manuscript ID : 2249054XV4I3052014-24

Existing system 2	9.2	5
Proposed system 1	9.2	2
Proposed system 2	9.2	2

Table 1	:	Packet	Loss	Comparison
---------	---	--------	------	------------

# PACKET LOSS COMPARISON GRAPH BAR GRAPH





XGRAPH GENERATED FOR COMPARISON





Figure 6 : Packet Transfer Rate X Graph

### CONCLUSION

In this exploration work, we have proposed a keen instrument that serves to beat the clogging issue emerges throughout parcel move in remote sensor arrange, our method gives the answer for the versatile sensor hubs under different condition and the got effects were enhanced contrasting with the procedures officially proposed. For the reenactment and demonstrating, the system test system ns2 is utilized. The diagram era apparatus xgraph is utilized for examination within the situations.

#### REFERENCES

[1] Ant colony optimization for wireless sensor networks routing by Jian-Feng, ; Yang Gao ; Lu Yang Yan ; Sch. of Comput. Sci. & Technology., Soochow Univ., Soochow, China.

[2] An Ant Colony Optimization Approach for Maximizing the Lifetime of Heterogeneous Wireless Sensor Networks by Ying Lin, Jun Zhang; Chung, H.S.-H.; Wai Hung Ip; Dept. of Comput. Sci., Sun Yat-sen Univ., Guangzhou, China.

[3] Routing in Wireless Sensor Networks Using an Ant colony Optimization (ACO) Router Chip by Selcuk Okdem and Dervis Karaboga, Erciyes University, Turkey.

[4] Ant Colony Optimization Based Routing in Wireless Sensor Networks by K.Syed Ali Fathima, Mr.K.Sindhanaiselvan, M.Kumarasamy College of Engg, karur, India.

[5] In-Network Data Aggregation Via Ant-Colony Optimization In Wireless Sensor Networks By Meng Xie And Hongchi Shi, Department of Computer Science, Texas State, USA.

- [6] Routing algorithms for wireless sensor networks using ant colony optimization by Christian Dominguezmediana, Nareli cruz-cortes.
- [7] Simulation Tools in Wireless Sensor Networks: Ant Colony Optimization of a Local Routing Algorithm by Nuria Gomez Blas, Luis Fernando de Mingo L´opez, Alberto Arteta Albert.

[8] Analysis of Routing Protocols in AD HOC and Sensor Wireless Networks Based on Swarm Intelligence by Zulfiqar Ali, Waseem Shahzad.