

#### Volume 1 Issue 2 September 2011

### PSEUDOINVEX FUNTIONS AND PSEUDOINMON OPERATORS

Deo Brat Ojha<sup>1</sup>

#### Abstract

The notions of a pseudoinvex functions and pseudoinmon operator are introduced, with cyclic pseudoinmon operator also. In this paper, we are studying the relation among pseudoinvex functions. Pseudoinmon and cyclic pseudoinmon operators.

**Key words:** Pseudoinvex functions, Pseudoinmon operator, Cyclic Pseudoinmon operator, Pseudomonotone, Cyclic Pseudomonotone.

1991 Mathematics subject classification: 26A51, 26E15, 47H05, 90A40, 90C26.

#### 1.Introduction:

The notion of a (not necessary single-valued) monotone operator was first proposed by Kachurovaskii[3], interested reader may see[4,5], cyclically monotone operator was introduced and investigated byRockafellar[6-9].

For a given smooth function f on a convex domain characterization of its convexity in terms of monotonicity or cyclically monotonicity of grad f are known as follows:

f is convex  $\Leftrightarrow$  grad f is monotone  $\Leftrightarrow$  grad f is cyclically monotone ----- (A)

Levin [12], get similar characterization theorems connecting

1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> R.K.G.I.T. Gzb.(INDIA)

#### Volume 1 Issue 2 September 2011

f is quasiconvex  $\Leftrightarrow$  grad f is quasimonotone  $\Leftrightarrow$  grad f is quasicyclically monotone- (B)

The term inmonicity was introduced in [13] and showed that

Pseudoinmonicity ⇒ quasiinmonicity, but converse is not true. ----- (C)

On the other hand invexity of a real-valued function, the relation in

Pseudoinvexity ⇒ quasiinvexity, but converse is not true. ------ (D)

In [12], Levin showed that such operators are closely related to the so-called demand functions in mathematical economics. It is worth noting that monotonicity has played a very important role in the existence and solution methods of variational inequality problems. A plenty of applications of solutions of variational inequality problems are present in the convexity literature.

Our aim of present paper is to get characterization theorems connecting pseudoinvex functions with pseudoinmon and cyclically pseudoinmon operators.

#### 2. Notations and Preliminaries:

Let W be a Hausdroff locally convex space, X a convex set in it, and  $w^*$  the dual space.

**Definition 2.1 [12]** An operator  $f: X \to w^*$  is Pseudomonotone if

$$\langle y - x, f(x) \rangle \ge 0 \Rightarrow \langle (y - x), f(y) \rangle \ge 0$$
 ..... (1.1)  
$$\forall x, y \in X$$

**Definition 2.2 [12]** An operator  $f: x \to w^*$  is cyclically pseudomonotone if

$$\langle x_{i+1} - x_i : f(x_i) \rangle > 0$$
  $\forall i = 1, 2, ..., k$ 



## International Journal of Computing and Corporate Research

Specialized and Refereed Journal for Research Scholars, Academicians, Engineers and Scientists



#### Volume 1 Issue 2 September 2011

$$\Rightarrow \langle x_{i+1} - x_j : f(x_i) \rangle < 0$$
 for some  $j = 1, 2, ..., k$ 

**Definition 2.3 [13]** A differentiable operator f on a subset  $X \subseteq R^n$  is a Pseudoinvex with respect to  $\eta: XxX \to X$  if for every pair of distinct points  $x,y \in X$ 

$$\eta(y,x)^T f'(x) \ge 0 \Rightarrow f(y) \ge f(x).$$

**Definition 2.4 [13 ]** Let  $X \subseteq R^n$  be an invex set with respect to  $\eta: XxX \to X$  and  $f: X \to R$  is pseudoinmon on X if  $\forall x, y \in X$ .

$$\eta(y,x)^T f(x) \ge 0 \Rightarrow \eta(y,x)^T f(y) \le 0.$$

The goal of the present paper is to get characterization theorem connecting Pseudo invex functions, Pseudo inmon and cyclically Pseudoinmon operators on an invex domain.

#### 3. Characterization Theorem:

Let X be an invex set in a real vector space.

**Definition 3.1** Let  $X \subseteq R^n$  be an invex set with respect to  $\eta: XxX \to X$ ,  $f: X \to R$  is said to cyclically pseudoinmon with respect to  $\exists i = \{1, 2, ..., k\}, \exists f(x_i) : \langle f(x_i), n(x_{i+1}, x_i) \rangle \geq 0$   $\langle f(x_{i+1}), n(x_i, x_{i+1}) \rangle \leq 0$ 

Let us define.

$$\phi(f, x, y; t) = f(x + tn(y, x))$$
 ... (3.1)



Specialized and Refereed Journal for Research Scholars, Academicians, Engineers and Scientists



#### Volume 1 Issue 2 September 2011

Theorem 3.1 Let X be an invex open set in a Hausdroff locally convex space w, and suppose that a function  $f: X \to R$  has two properties as follows:

(i) f is  $G \stackrel{\circ}{a} teaux$  differentiable on X, i.e. every  $x \in X$ , there exist an element  $grad f(x) \in w^*$  such that

$$\lim_{\Delta t \to 0} \frac{f(x+t\eta(y,x)) - f(x)}{t} = <\eta(y,x), grad \ f(x) > for \ \text{all} \ \eta(y,x) \in E.$$

(ii) for every  $x,y \in X$ , the function  $\phi(f,x,y;.)$  given by (3.1) is absolutely continuous on [0,1].

The following assertions are then equivalent:

- (a) f is pseudoinvex,
- (b) the operator grad f is cyclically pseudoinmon
- (c) The operator grad f is pseudoinmon.

Before to pass on to a more general characterization theorem, let us formulate two assumption  $(D_1)$  and  $(D_2)$ , on a function  $f: X \to R$ , where X is an invex set in a real vector space. The assumptions are expressed in terms of the functions  $\phi(f, x, y; .)$  (see (3.1)) as follows:

(D<sub>1</sub>) for every  $x,y \in X$  and every  $t,0 \le t \le 1$ , there exist the right derivative

D 
$$\phi(f, x, y; t) = \lim_{\Delta t \to 0} \frac{\phi(f, x, y; t + \Delta t) - \phi(f, x, y; t)}{\Delta t}$$
 -----(3.2)

(D<sub>2</sub>)for every  $x,y \in X$ ,  $\phi(f,x,y)$ :) is absolutely continuous on [0,1].

It follows from  $(D_1)$  and  $(D_2)$  that



Specialized and Refereed Journal for Research Scholars, Academicians, Engineers and Scientists



#### Volume 1 Issue 2 September 2011

where 
$$x, y \in X$$
 ...(3.3)

Note that if  $(D_1)$  holds, then for every  $x,y \in X$ , the directional derivative is defined as follows:

$$f'(x,\eta(y,x)) = D\phi(f,x,y;0) = \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{f(x+t\eta(y,x)) - f(x)}{t} \dots (3.4)$$

Theorem 3.2 Let X be an invex subset in a real vector space and  $f: X \to R$ , satisfy  $(D_1)$  and  $(D_2)$ , the following assertions are then equivalent:

- (a) f is pseudoinvex;
- (b) for every integer kand every cycle  $x_0, x_1, ..., x_k, x_{k+1} = x_0$  in X, the inequality  $\exists i \in \{0, ..., k\} \ \exists f(x_i) : \langle f'(x_i), \eta(x_{i+1}, x_i) \rangle \geq 0$

$$\Rightarrow < f'(x_{i+1}), \eta(x_i, x_{i+1}) > \le 0 \text{ hold};$$

(c) for every  $x, y \in X$ , the inequality

$$\eta(y,x)^T f'(x) \ge 0 \implies \eta(x,y)^T f'(y) \le 0$$
 holds.

#### 4. Proofs

Proofs of theorem (3.1) observe that if f is  $G \circ teaux$  differentiable on x, then (D<sub>1</sub>)holds and  $f'(x,\eta(y,x)) = \langle \eta(y,x), grad \ f(x) \rangle$  for all  $x,y \in X$ , theorem (3.1) is then a direct consequence of theorem (3.2)

#### Proof of theorem 3.2

# International Journal of Computing and Corporate Research

Specialized and Refereed Journal for Research Scholars, Academicians, Engineers and Scientists

#### Volume 1 Issue 2 September 2011

(a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b). If (b) fails, then for some cycle  $x_0, x_1, ..., x_{k+1} = x_0$  in x,

$$f'(x_{i+1}, \eta(x_i, x_{i+1})) > 0, i = 0, 1, ..., k$$

we have  $D\phi(f, x_{i+1}, x_i; 0) > 0$ , so

$$\phi(f, x_{i+1}, x_i; 0) < \phi(f, x_{i+1}, x_i; t)$$
 for small  $t > 0$  ...(4.1)

and as  $\phi(f, x_{i+1}, x_i; .)$  is pseudoinvex on [0,1], it follows from (4.1) that

$$\phi(f, x_{i+1}, x_i;.) > \phi(f, x_{i+1}, x_i;.)$$

i.e.  $f(x_{i+1}) > f(x_i)$ , we obtain a contradictory chain of inequalities

$$f(x_0) < f(x_1) < \dots < f(x_k) < f(x_{k+1}) = f(x_0)$$

and the contradiction means that (b) is true.

- $(b) \Rightarrow (c)$ , obvious.
- (c)  $\Rightarrow$  (a), suppose f is not pseudoinvex, There exist then  $x,y \in X$ , and  $\lambda_0$ ,  $0 < \lambda_0 < 1$ , such that

$$f(x + \lambda_0 \eta(y, x)) > f(y)$$
 ... (4.2)

we claim that there exist  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$ ,  $0 < \lambda_1 < \lambda_0 < \lambda_2 < 1$ , such that

$$D\phi(f,x,y;\lambda_1) > 0 \qquad \dots (4.3)$$

and 
$$D\phi(f, y, x; 1 - \lambda_2) > 0$$
 ... (4.4)

But, if  $D\phi(f,x,y;\lambda) \le 0$  for all  $\lambda,0 < \lambda < \lambda_0$ , then with (3.3) and the identity

### International Journal of Computing and





Specialized and Refereed Journal for Research Scholars, Academicians, Engineers and Scientists

#### Volume 1 Issue 2 September 2011

$$\phi(f, x, (y + \lambda_0 \eta(y, x) : \lambda) = \phi(f, x, y; \lambda_0 \lambda)$$

we obtain,

$$f(x + \lambda_0 \eta(y, x)) = f(x) + \int_0^1 D\phi(f, x, x + \lambda_0 \eta(y, x); \lambda) d\lambda$$
$$= f(x) + \int_0^1 D\phi(f, x, y; \lambda_0 \lambda) d\lambda$$
$$= f(x) + \frac{1}{\lambda_0} \int_0^{\lambda_0} D\phi(f, x, y; r) dr \le f(x)$$

which contradicts (4.2),

then if  $D\phi(f, y, x; \lambda) \le 0 \quad \forall \lambda \& 0 < \lambda < 1 - \lambda_2$ , then

$$f(x + \lambda_0 \eta(y, x)) = f(y) + \int_0^1 D\phi(f, y, x + \lambda_0 \eta(y, x); \lambda) d\lambda$$
$$= f(y) + \frac{1}{1 - \lambda_0} \int_0^{1 - \lambda_0} D\phi(f, y, x, r) dr \le f(y)$$

which again contradicts (4.2). The claim is thus proved.

Set how 
$$x_k = (1 - \lambda_k)x + \lambda_k y$$
;  $k = 1,2$ 

And using (4.3) and (4.4) and the identities,

$$\phi(f, x_1, x_2; \lambda) = \phi(f, x, y; \lambda_1 + \lambda(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1))$$

$$\phi(f, x_1, x_2; \lambda) = \phi(f, y, x; 1 - \lambda_2 + \lambda(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1))$$



Specialized and Refereed Journal for Research Scholars, Academicians, Engineers and Scientists

#### Volume 1 Issue 2 September 2011

hence

$$f'(x_1, \eta(x_2, x_1)) = D\phi(f, x_1, x_2, 0) = (\lambda_2 - \lambda_1)D\phi(f, x, y; \lambda_1) > 0$$

$$f'(x_2, \eta(x_1, x_2)) = D\phi(f, x_2, x_1, 0) = (\lambda_2 - \lambda_1)D\phi(f, y, x; 1 - \lambda_2) > 0$$

Hence a contradiction with (c).

#### References

- 1. A.Daniilidis and N.Hadjisavvas, On the subdifferentials of quasiconvex and pseudoconvex functions and cyclic monotonicity, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Application ,237(1999),30-42.
- D.Aussel, J.N.Corvellec and M.Lassonde, Subdifferential charecterization of quasiconvexity and convexity, Journal of Convex Analysis, Vol.1,No.2(1994),195-201.
- 3. R.I.Kachurovaskii, On monotone operators and convex functionals, Upsekhi Matem Nauk, Vol.15;4,(1960),213-215: Uchenye Zapiski, Moskovskogo obtastn, Pedagog, Inst. 1962,231-243,Russian.
- 4. R.I.Kachurovaskii, Non –linear operators of bounded variation, monotone and convex operators in Banach space, Upsekhi Matem Nauk, Vol.21;.5,(1966),256-257,Russian.
- 5. R.I.Kachurovaskii, Non –linear operators in Banach space, Upsekhi Matem Nauk, Vol.23;.2,(1968),121-168,Russian.
- 6. R.T.Rockafellar, characterization of the subdifferentials of the convex functions, Pacific J.Math, Vol.17(1966),497-510.

#### Volume 1 Issue 2 September 2011

Research Scholars, Academicians, Engineers and Scientists

- 7. R.T.Rockafellar, convex functions monotone operators and variational inequalities in: Theory and Applications of monotone operators, A.Ghizzetti,1969,35-65,Tipografia oderisi Editrice,Gubbio,Italy.
- 8. R.T.Rockafellar, Convex Analysis, Princeton University Press, 1970.
- 9. R.T.Rockafellar, On the maximal monotonicity of subdifferential mappings, Pacific J.Math, Vol.33(1970),209-216.
- 10. S.karlin, Mathematical methods and theory in games, programming and Economics, Pergamon Press, London-Paris, 1959.
- 11. V.L.Levin, Reduced cost functions and their applications, Journal of Mathematical Economics (Accepted).
- 12. V.L.Levin, Quasi-convex functions and quasi-monotone operators, Journal of Convex Analysis Vol.2, No.1/2 (1995),167-172.
- 13. X.M.Yang, X.Q.Yang and K.L.Teo , Generalized invexity and generalized inmonicity, J. Optim. Theory Appl. (2001), Accepted.